

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/938,711	08/23/2001	Arthur A. Renda	10011961-1	1781
75	590 11/09/2005		EXAM	INER
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			DIVINE, LUCAS	
Intellectual Proj	perty Administration			
P.O. Box 272400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2624	
			DATE MAII FD: 11/09/200	ς .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/938,711	RENDA, ARTHUR A.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Lucas Divine	2624		

	Lucas Divine	2624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 October 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		•					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods: a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The replate of the final rejection.	of Appeal. To avoid at affidavit, or other evidence with 37 (all lines) of the filed within the final rejection, whichever	ence, which CFR 41.31; or one of the				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expression of Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be amendments 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belappeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NC w);	OTE below);					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. Sor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 19-23. Claim(s) objected to: 13. Claim(s) rejected: 1-12,14-18 and 24-27. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					
₹ ~	ラナー						
KING Y. POON PRIMARY EYAMMER							

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: newly added claim limitations "...locating an additional information tag identifier in the file; responsive to the locating, determining a location of a remote file that provides the additional information; and downloading the remote file from the location, wherein the printing further comprises printing the downloaded file." found in claims 1, 6, 15, and 24 raise new issues that would require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It relies on the newly added claim limitations, not being entered; and the finally rejected limitations are still met by prior art of record.